

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

This Document Relates to:  
ALL CASES

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE:  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER  
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE  
SEALED**

Having considered Plaintiffs' January 8, 2024 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Filed Under Seal, related to Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce PTO No. 2 and to compel Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively "Uber") to produce litigation hold and preservation information, the Court hereby **DENIES** the Motion and **ORDERS** that the following materials shall not be sealed:

Document	Description	Designating Party
Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce PTO No. 2 and to Compel Defendants to Produce Litigation Hold and Preservation Information	List of the job titles of past and current Uber employees subject to a legal hold	Uber

1  
2     **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

HON. LISA J. CISNEROS  
United States Magistrate Court Judge